

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/748,889		12/27/2000	Frederick W. Ryan JR.	F-212	\$705	
919	7590	12/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PITNEY B	OWES I	VC.	SHERR, CR	SHERR, CRISTINA O		
35 WATER	VIEW DR	IVE				
P.O. BOX 3	000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MSC 26-22			3621	3621		
SHELTON,	CT 0648	34-8000	DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	).	Applicant(s)						
	09/748,889		RYAN ET AL.	$\mathcal{D}^{0}$					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
	Cristina Owen	Sherr	3621						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EX	(PIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(	(S) FROM						
<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>									
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 O	october 2004.								
/-	action is non-f								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims			•						
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the E.	xammer, Note t	ne attached Onio	e Action of form ?	10-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ul>									
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list			ed.						
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		Notice of Informal	Patent Application (P	ГО-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/748,889 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 7, 2004 has been entered.

2. Claims 1, 2, 12,15, 16, 23, 25, and 27 have been amended. Claims 1-31 are pending in this case.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-14 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore (US5,917,925A) in view of Racanelli (4,511,793) further in view of Liechti et al (US 5,715,164A).
- 6. Regarding claim 1-6, 9-10 and 27-30:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/748,889

Art Unit: 3621

Moore discloses scanning mail throughout the mail processing system in order to detect mail fraud (e.g. col 3 ln 58-col 4 ln 20).

7. Regarding claims 12-14 and 28 -

Racanelli discloses the accumulation of the amount of postage dispensed/used for a particular amount (e.g. col 1 ln 47-60).

- 8. Regarding claims 11-14 and 28-30 -
- Liechti discloses the centralized collection of postage used data/information for a plurality of postage meters (e.g. col 1 ln 54 col 2 ln2).
- 9. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Moore, Racanelli and Liechti in order to obtain greater efficiency in the detection of mail fraud.
- 10. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

  Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/748,889

Art Unit: 3621

- 12. Sansone et al (US6,064,995A) discloses metering incoming mail to detect fraudulent indicia.
- 13. Hunter et al (US 4,873,645) discloses a secure postage dispensing system.
- 14. Berson et al (US 5,819,239A) discloses a method of verifying proper payment of postage.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 703-305-0625. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through Friday.
- 16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703-305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-Q197 (toll-free).

\*\*